REMARKS

Please add new Claims 30-33 and amend Claims 2-5, 10, 12-15, 20, 22-24 and 29 as shown. Applicant submits that new Claims 30-33 and amended Claims 2-5, 10, 12-15, 20, 22-24 and 29 are allowable, which allowance is respectfully requested.

In paragraph 2 of the office action, the Examiner rejects claims 1-29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu et al. U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0023131. That rejection is respectfully traversed, and reconsideration of the claims is hereby requested.

With respect to the Examiner's rejection of Claim 1, Applicant believes that Wu does not teach the invention because that reference does not show or suggest each feature recited in Claim 1. In particular, Applicant believes that Wu does not show or suggest "receiving at a second client, a first message from a first client, the first client having a first network address; creating at the second client, a second message, the second message comprising the first message and the first network address; transmitting the second message by way of an instant message application from the second client to the first client; ... presenting the second message and the additional information at the first client". In paragraph 2 of the present Office action, the Examiner cites paragraph 67, claim 1, 10, 12, 14, 15 as disclosing "a second message, the second message comprising the first message and the first network address; " recited in claim 1. Upon careful review of the cited claims and passage of paragraph 67, Applicant believes that the cited reference discloses "receiving at a second client (recipient), a first message from a first client (sender), the first client (sender) having a first network address; creating at the second client (recipient), a second message (request to establish voice communication), the second message comprising (capabilities of

the recipient); transmitting (a) message by way of an instant message application from the second client (to a Host server)". but fails to show or suggest any of "the second message comprising the first message and the first network address;"; "transmitting the second message (comprising the first message and the first network address) from the second client to the first client;" or " presenting the second message (comprising the first message and the first network address) at the first client." as recited in claim 1.

furthermore, the Examiner cites paragraph claim 8, 12, 14, 15 as disclosing "transmitting the second message by way of an instant message application from the second client to the first client; retrieving additional information related to the second client; and presenting the second message (comprising the first message and the first network address) and the additional information at the first client." recited in claim 1. Upon careful review of the cited claims, Applicant believes that the cited reference discloses "transmitting (a) message (capabilities of the recipient) by way of an instant message application from the second client (to a Host); retrieving additional information (capabilities of the recipient); and presenting the message (capabilities of the recipient) and the additional information (capabilities of the recipient) at the first client." but fails to show or suggest the "retrieving additional information related to the second client; and presenting the second message (comprising the first message and the first network address) and the additional information (related to the second client) at the first client; " as recited in claim 1.

Wu fails to show or suggest "retrieving additional information related to the second client". "Capabilities of the recipient" of Wu refers to the hardware configuration of the computer system being used by the recipient (user) rather than information related to the user (second client) of the present invention. It

should be noted that amended claim 4, which depends on claim 1 and therefore incorporates the limitations of claim 1 recites members of the "additional information" group of claim 1 "additional information consists of any one of a first user name, first user title, first user telephone number, first user job responsibility or a first user secretary. Thus, since Wu fails to show or suggest "the second message comprising the first message and the first network address; "; "transmitting the second message (comprising the first message and the first network address) from the second client to the first client; "; "retrieving additional information related to the second client" and presenting the second message (comprising the first message and the first network address) and the additional information (related to the second client) at the first client; ", Applicant believes the Examiner's rejection of Claim 1 is overcome. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of claim 1.

The forgoing comments made with respect to claim 1 are also made applicable to claims 2-5, which depend from Claim 1 and therefore necessarily incorporate all of the features of the base claim. In addition, the foregoing comments made with respect to Claim 1 are also believed to overcome the Examiner's rejection of Claims 11-15, 21-24, which recite a similar limitations of Claims 1-5. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of claims 2-5, 11-15 and 21-24.

The Examiner says as to claim 2, Wu teaches "the method according to claim 1, wherein the first message is a published message received from a Publish/Subscribe server (Paragraph 63). The applicant disagrees. The reference is silent on a Publish/Subscribe service. Pub/Sub services are well known. As shown in the specification, a Publish/Subscribe service publishes messages to anonymous subscribers of a channel of the pub/sub

service. The Host of the cited reference is no way a Pub/Sub service.

The Examiner says as to claim 3, Wu teaches "the method according to claim 1, comprising the further step of subscribing, by any one of the first client or the second client, to a publish/subscribe server (Paragraph 63). The applicant disagrees for at least the same reason as presented for Claim 2.

The Examiner says as to claim 4, Wu teaches the method according to claim 1 wherein the additional information comprises any one of a first user name, first user title, first user address, first user telephone number, first user value, first user job responsibility, first user secretary, a text file, a video file, an audio file or a network link (such as a URL) (paragraph 70, 71). The applicant disagrees. Wu is silent on the additional information comprising any one of a first user name, first user title, first user address, first user telephone number, first user job responsibility, first user secretary.

The Applicant has amended claim 5 to depend on allowable claim 4. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of claim 2-5.

With respect to the Examiner's rejection of Claim 6, Applicant believes that Wu does not teach the invention because that reference does not show or suggest each feature recited in Claim 6. In particular, Applicant believes that Wu does not show or suggest "a system for instant message using a pub/sub server," "obtaining at a first client, first user identifying information; ... "transmitting the message (incorporating the first user identifying information) to a pub/sub server; publishing the message (incorporating the first user identifying information) to subscribers of the pub/sub server; ". In paragraph 2 of the present Office action, the Examiner cites paragraph 63, Fig. 7, Fig. 8 as disclosing "a system for instant message using a pub/sub server, " "obtaining at a first client, first user identifying information; ... "transmitting the message (incorporating the first user identifying information) to a pub/sub server; publishing the message (incorporating the first user identifying information) to subscribers of the pub/sub server; ". recited in claim 6. Upon careful review of the cited claims and passage of paragraph 63, Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Applicant believes that the cited reference discloses "transmitting (a) message to a server (Host); (forwarding) the message to (a) subscriber of the server (host); ". but fails to show or suggest any of "a system for instant message using a pub/sub server," "obtaining at a first client, first user identifying information; ... *transmitting the message (incorporating the first user identifying information) to a pub/sub server; publishing the message (incorporating the first user identifying information) to subscribers of the pub/sub server: " as recited in claim 6.

Wu fails to show or suggest "a pub/sub server". A "Host" of Wu is not a pub/sub server as shown in the claim. A well known Pub/Sub server as shown in the specification publishes messages to a plurality of anonymous subscribers of channels of the

Pub/Sub server. The Host of Wu forwards a message from a sender to a subscriber known to the sender.

Wu fails to show or suggest first user identifying information. The "start talk" button and "connect" button of Wu is not "first user identifying information" as it does not provide information about a user according to the current specification. Furthermore, the Examiners reference Fig. 7 element 700 and according to the Examiner "The Figure teaches obtaining at the first client a message sent to the second client and also first user's screen name (first user identifying information)". The "Screen Name" of Wu is also not user identifying information as it does not provide information about a user according to the current specification. Wu admits that a "Screen Name" is related to an "PI address" (Wu [0067]) or network address of the present application.

Thus, since Wu fails to show or suggest "a system for instant message using a pub/sub server," "obtaining at a first client, first user identifying information;" ... "transmitting the message (incorporating the first user identifying information) to a pub/sub server; publishing the message (incorporating the first user identifying information) to subscribers of the pub/sub server; "Applicant believes the Examiner's rejection of Claim 6 is overcome. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of claim 6.

The forgoing comments made with respect to claim 6 are also made applicable to claims 7-9, which depend from Claim 6 and therefore necessarily incorporate all of the features of the base claim. In addition, the foregoing comments made with respect to Claim 6 are also believed to overcome the Examiner's rejection of Claims 16-19 and 25-29, which recite a similar limitations to Claim 6. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of claims 7-9, 16-19 and 25-29.

With respect to the Examiner's rejection of Claim 10.

Applicant believes that Wu does not teach the invention because that reference does not show or suggest each feature recited in Claim 10. In particular, Applicant believes that Wu does not show or suggest "creating at a first client, a first message, the first message comprising any one of additional information or a link to additional information, the additional information comprising any one of a user title, a user telephone number, a user value, a user job responsibility, information about a user's secretary;

transmitting the first message by way of an instant message application from the first client to a second client;

retrieving at the second client, the additional information; and

presenting the first message and the additional information at the first (currently amended to "second") client". In paragraph 2 of the present Office action, the Examiner cites paragraph 66 lines 6-12, paragraphs 68, 69 as disclosing "creating at a first client, a first message, the first message comprising any one of additional information or a link to additional information, the additional information comprising any one of a user title, a user telephone number, a user value, a user job responsibility, information about a user's secretary;" the Examiner cites paragraphs 67, 69, 70, 71 lines 1-9, Figs. 6 and 7 as disclosing "transmitting the first message by way of an instant message application from the first client to a second client; " "retrieving at the second client, the additional information; " "and presenting the first message and the additional information at the first client" recited in claim 10. Upon careful review of the cited claims and passage of paragraphs 67, 69, 70 and 71 lines 1-9, Figs. 6 and 7, Applicant believes that the cited reference discloses "transmitting (a) message to a

server (Host); (forwarding) the message to (a) subscriber of the server (host);" but fails to show or suggest any of "creating at a first client, a first message, the first message comprising any one of additional information or a link to additional information, the additional information comprising any one of a user title, a user telephone number, a user value, a user job responsibility, information about a user's secretary; transmitting the first message by way of an instant message application from the first client to a second client;" "retrieving at the second client, the additional information; and" "presenting the first message and the additional information at the first client" as recited in claim 10.

Wu fails to show or suggest "additional information comprising any one of a user title, a user telephone number, a user value, a user job responsibility, information about a user's secretary" of claim 10. Furthermore, Wu fails to show or suggest "retrieving at the second client, the additional information; and presenting the first message and the additional information (comprising any one of a user title, a user telephone number, a user value, a user job responsibility, information about a user's secretary) at the first client". The reference teaches a Host ending a "report" to sender/recipient to modify a UI button. This is a Host initiated action and is not a second client action.

Thus, since Wu fails to show or suggest "additional information comprising any one of a user title, a user telephone number, a user value, a user job responsibility, information about a user's secretary"; "retrieving at the second client, the additional information; and presenting the first message and the additional information (comprising any one of a user title, a user telephone number, a user value, a user job responsibility, information about a user's secretary) at the first client" Applicant believes the Examiner's rejection of Claim 10 is

overcome. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of claim 10.

The forgoing comments made with respect to claim 10 are also believed to overcome the Examiner's rejection of Claims 20 and 29, which recite a similar limitations to Claim 10. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of claim 20 and 29. Applicant has added new claims 30, 31, 32 and 33 which depend on allowable claims 1, 4, 6 and 10 respectively and are therefore allowable, which allowance is respectfully requested.

In conclusion, Applicant believes that the present invention is not rendered unpatentable under Wu because that reference fails to show or suggest each feature recited in the present claims. Having responded to each of the Examiner's rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102, Applicant believes that Claims 1-33 are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests such allowance.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

JOHN E. CAMPÉZLL - AGENT

Registration No. 52,687

Phone: 845-433-1156

Fax: 845-432-9786